



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PURPOSE .....	2
2.0	GOALS .....	2
3.0	METHODS .....	2
4.0	RATIONALE .....	2
5.0	RISK ASSESSMENT .....	3
6.0	GENERAL PRINCIPLES .....	3
7.0	DEFINITIONS .....	4
8.0	EXCLUSIONS .....	5
8.1	Exclusions for Swimming Pool Workers and Patrons .....	5
8.2	Exclusions for Swimming Cases of Hepatitis A and their Contacts.....	5
8.3	Exclusions for Probable Cases and Confirmed Non-HAV Viral Cases of Gastroenteritis, and Cases of Shiga toxin-producing E. coli, Salmonella Typhi/Paratyphi, Shigella and V. cholerae O1 and O139 and their Contacts .....	5
9.0	EXPLANATORY NOTES.....	14
10.0	REFERENCES.....	18
11.0	APPENDICES.....	26
11.1	Appendix I: Personal Hygiene Assessment Checklist for High Risk Workers .....	26
11.2	Appendix II: Letters and Orders to Cases, Contacts & Employers.....	29



## 1.0 PURPOSE

To provide guidelines for the exclusion and microbiological clearance of cases and contacts of cases with enteric infections who are working in or attending high risk settings.

## 2.0 GOALS

To reduce the risk of enteric disease transmission from cases and their contacts in high risk settings by:

- Providing definitions of high risk settings and occupations to allow consistent application of exclusion guidelines across the province
- Outlining when cases and their contacts should be excluded from a high risk setting
- Setting conditions for cases and their contacts to return to the high risk setting
- Providing documentation to facilitate communication between the case, physician, public health and the employer or administrator of the high risk setting
- Providing a tool for assessing the personal hygiene levels of food handlers

Although the priority was to minimize the risk to public health, a conscious effort was made to avoid unnecessary hardship on cases and their contacts.

## 3.0 METHODS

Literature search: The scientific literature was searched using Medline and the reference sections of studies that were retrieved. Keywords used in the search included: food handler, food handler illness, food handler outbreak, food handler exclusion, worker exclusion, day care worker, health care worker, S. Typhi, S. Paratyphi, *Schistosoma*, carriage, excretion.

Policy scan: Existing exclusion policies from British Columbia, other Canadian provinces, the Public Health Agency of Canada (draft), the UK, Ireland, Australia, South Africa and the USA were reviewed. Provincial and federal representatives were contacted to obtain copies of existing policies. International policies were found using an Internet search engine.

*This policy was prepared by Charlene Wood, CPHIC, and completed on March 31 2006. It was reviewed and approved by the BC Enterics Policy Working Group consisting of representatives from the British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC), the Regional Health Authorities, and the Licensing Leadership Council. It was approved by the BC CD Policy Committee on September 19 2006.*

## 4.0 RATIONALE

The transmission of enteric infections occurs in high risk settings because of the opportunity for person-to-person transmission or transmission through food. The population in some of these settings may be at higher risk of serious illness or complications from these infections. The setting and the population may contribute to efficient transmission and the occurrence of outbreaks.



The risk of transmission from infected food handlers is documented in an international English language literature review (Guzewich and Ross, 1999). Authors identified 81 documented outbreaks involving 14,712 people, between 1975 and 1998. Hepatitis A (35%), Norovirus (26%), *Shigella sonnei* (6%), *Salmonella* Typhimurium (6%) and *Salmonella* Enteritidis (5%) were the most commonly identified pathogens. Published studies document person-to-person transmission of enteric infections in health care (Carter 1987, McCall 2000) and child care settings (Spika 1986, Belongia 1993, Gouveia 1998, O'Donnell 2002, Galanis 2003).

## 5.0 RISK ASSESSMENT

The exclusion of a case or contact from a high risk setting is based on a risk assessment of the individual and setting and depends on a number of factors:

- Properties of the infectious pathogen
- Nature of the duties of the case or contact
- Rigor of infection control measures in the high risk setting
- Risk factors of the case or contact and population at risk
- Symptoms of the case or contact
- Level of personal hygiene of the case or contact

The **Medical Health Officer** may decide to exercise his/her discretion outside the recommendations of these guidelines.

## 6.0 GENERAL PRINCIPLES

- **Based on severity of illness and evidence that continued presence or work in a high risk setting leads to transmission of infection, cases and, in some instances, contacts of cases with Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*, hepatitis A, *Salmonella* Typhi/Paratyphi and *Shigella* and *V. cholerae* O1 and O139 infections should be excluded from high risk settings and microbiological clearance should be obtained before they return.**

**Exclusion and microbiological clearance of confirmed cases and symptomatic or asymptomatic contacts of confirmed cases of enteric infections due to common causes other than those mentioned above (e.g. *Salmonella* non-Typhi/Paratyphi, *Campylobacter*, Norovirus, *Giardia*) can be managed as per probable cases (Table 1) or at the discretion of the Medical Health Officer.**

The existence of an outbreak in a high risk setting may require case or contact management beyond what is specified in these guidelines (BCCDC 2003).

- High risk workers should not work if experiencing diarrhea and/or vomiting, or fever (if *S. Typhi* is suspected), unless a non-infectious etiology has been diagnosed.
  - Because public health will not be aware of undiagnosed high risk workers, whenever it is possible, educate employers and workers regarding the risks



of working while ill. If public health is made aware of a high risk worker with an enteric infection, the case should be contacted and appropriate actions taken. A high risk worker with a chronic enteric disease should be counseled to seek medical attention if their symptoms change.

- Prior to return to work, high risk workers must be educated regarding personal hygiene appropriate to food handling, child or patient care.
  - Soap and water are the standard for hand washing. Most pathogens can be removed from hands by thoroughly washing hands with soap and water and ensuring that hands are dried completely using paper towels (Pether 1982, Coates 1987, Patrick 1997). The benefits of proper hand washing emphasize the need to assess a worker's hand hygiene and provide any necessary education to minimize the risk of disease transmission (see Appendix I: Personal Hygiene Assessment Checklist).
  - Alcohol hand sanitizers may be used when hands are not visibly soiled. However, food handlers should not use alcohol hand sanitizers as a substitute for hand washing. These products are not fully effective against bacterial spores, oocysts, and viruses such as norovirus and hepatitis A. Food handlers' hands are routinely soiled with fatty and proteinaceous materials that render the alcohol products ineffective (FDA 2003).
- Under the *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation* BC Reg. 4/83, the Medical Health Officer can inform the employer or child care facility that their employee or attendee is infected with a communicable disease that can be spread to others and should be excluded from work or attendance until they have met the appropriate criteria.

## 7.0 DEFINITIONS

**High risk setting:** A setting where the nature of the high risk case or contact's activities increases the chance of transmission of enteric infections to others, including food premises, child care facilities, and residential and acute health care facilities or other clinical settings.

**Child care facility:** A community care facility or family day care setting or preschool where children under the age of 5 attend.

**High risk worker:** A person, paid or unpaid, working in a high risk setting where the MHO or designate determines that the risk of transmission of enteric disease to other workers or the public warrants exclusion.

- **Food handler:** A person, paid or unpaid, engaged in the preparation, manufacture, storage, serving or sale of food or drink where the food or drink itself is handled. This does not include a person who handles only completely packaged food or drink or a person who only handles food *before* it is cooked.<sup>1</sup>
- **Child care worker:** A person, paid or unpaid, working in a licensed or unlicensed child care or preschool (full or part time, or after school).



- Health care worker: A person, paid or unpaid, working in direct patient/resident care in an adult day program, residential or acute health care facility or other clinical setting.

Confirmed case: A person with a laboratory confirmed enteric infection with or without symptoms.

Probable case of gastroenteritis: A person with 2 or more episodes of diarrhea or vomiting of unknown etiology in a 24 hour period.

Contact: A person working in or attending a high risk setting, who is a household or sexual contact of a confirmed case, or has had a significant opportunity to acquire the infection, e.g. through consumption of a confirmed food source.

Modified exclusion: exclusion from work duties that may present a risk to public health.

## 8.0 EXCLUSIONS

### 8.1 Exclusions for Swimming Pool Workers and Patrons

Confirmed cases who work at swimming pools, hot tubs or spray parks, and spend time in the water, should be excluded from being in the water until 48 hours after their symptoms have resolved. Although compliance cannot be ascertained for the general public, the public should be given general advice about exclusion from such facilities until 48 hours after their symptoms have resolved.<sup>2</sup>

### 8.2 Exclusions for Swimming Cases of Hepatitis A and their Contacts

Refer to the Hepatitis A chapter in the Communicable Disease Control in BC manual and to the Biological Product Section in the BC Immunization Manual.

### 8.3 Exclusions for Probable Cases and Confirmed Non-HAV Viral Cases of Gastroenteritis, and Cases of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*, *Salmonella* Typhi/Paratyphi, *Shigella* and *V. cholerae* O1 and O139 and their Contacts

- **Table 1** applies to a probable case or their contact who is working in or attending a high risk setting and who is brought to the attention of the Medical Health Officer or designate.
- **Tables 2 to 5** apply to a confirmed case or their contact who is working in or attending a high risk setting and has a confirmed enteric infection caused by Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*, *Salmonella* Typhi/Paratyphi, *Shigella* or *V. cholerae* O1 and O139.



**Table 1: Probable Cases and Confirmed Non-HAV Viral Cases of Gastroenteritis and their Contacts**

Assess and *counsel probable and diagnosed cases regarding required personal hygiene (Appendix I). The lifting of the exclusion for Table 1 cases is based on the cessation of symptoms and not on the demonstration of the absence of pathogens in clinical samples.*

**HIGH RISK WORKERS and CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

**Probable or Diagnosed Case**

- Advise case to seek medical attention if appropriate for testing and diagnosis.
  - If test is positive for a specific pathogen, refer to the appropriate table and proceed with necessary steps.
- Exclude a case with diarrhea or vomiting of unknown etiology or non-HAV viral etiology until at least 48 hours after the last loose stool or vomiting episode, whichever comes last.<sup>3</sup>
  - If anti-diarrheal medications have been taken, exclude the case until diarrhea-free for at least 48 hours after the cessation of medications.

**Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude as per probable case

**Asymptomatic Contact**

- No exclusion required
- Advise that if gastrointestinal symptoms develop, to seek medical attention and exclude as per probable case



**Exclusion of Enteric Cases and their Contacts from High Risk Settings**  
**TABLE 2: Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC)**

*Assess and counsel confirmed cases and contacts regarding required personal hygiene (Appendix I).*

**HIGH RISK WORKERS**

**Confirmed Case** (Culture-confirmed case (*E. coli* O157 and non-O157 STEC) or culture negative Shiga toxin positive case)

- Exclude until provision of 2 consecutive negative stool cultures<sup>4</sup>, taken after symptom resolution, collected not less than 24 hours<sup>5</sup> apart and at least 48 hours after the completion of a prescribed course of antibiotics and/or anti-diarrheal medications, if used.<sup>6</sup>

**Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude as per confirmed case

**Asymptomatic Contact<sup>7</sup>**

- No exclusion required

**CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

**Confirmed Case**

- Exclude until provision of 2 consecutive negative stool cultures<sup>4</sup>, taken after symptom resolution, collected not less than 24 hours<sup>5</sup> apart and at least 48 hours after the completion of a prescribed course of antibiotics and/or anti-diarrheal medications, if these medications have been used.<sup>6</sup>

**Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude as per confirmed case

**Asymptomatic Contact (household contact of case attending a child care facility)**

- Exclusion until provision of 1 negative stool culture<sup>8</sup>



**TABLE 2 Shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) Continued**

**Outbreak considerations**

***If there is >1 confirmed case or 1 confirmed case and any number of symptomatic contacts in the child care facility, initiate an outbreak investigation and consider closing the facility.***

The rate of infection is higher and illness may be more severe in children under 5 years of age. Outbreaks of STEC occur in child care facilities (Spika 1986, Belongia 1993, Boyce 1995, Gouveia 1998, Fitzpatrick 1999, Wong 2000, O'Donnell 2002, Galanis 2003, BCCDC 2004). If there is a confirmed case in the child care facility, operators should be advised to:

- Consult with public health.
- Monitor all children for symptoms and inform all parents, by phone or letter, of a confirmed case in the facility.
- Immediately exclude any child with diarrhea from the facility and inform public health. Until the child is picked up by a parent/guardian, move the child to a separate area away from contact with other children. If possible, the child should be cared for by staff that have no or minimal contact with other children. Advise parents that public health will follow-up with them, considering the child to be a symptomatic contact.
- Advise all staff, especially those involved in food handling, diapering or toileting children to be vigilant regarding their own hand washing and supervision of children's hand washing.



**TABLE 3: Typhoid Fever (*Salmonella* Typhi) and Paratyphoid Fever (*Salmonella* Paratyphi excluding *S. Paratyphi* B Java)**

*Assess and counsel confirmed cases, contacts and excretors regarding required personal hygiene (Appendix I).*

### **HIGH RISK WORKERS and CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

#### **Confirmed Case**

- **Exclude until provision of**

- **3 consecutive negative stool samples** collected at least 1 week apart and at least 2 weeks after completion of antibiotic treatment.<sup>9</sup>
  - If case was treated while traveling and the appropriate medication may not have been prescribed, the case should be referred to a physician for assessment. Sampling should only commence after the appropriate treatment is completed.

#### **AND**

- **1 negative urine sample** from a confirmed case who has ever traveled to a schistosomiasis-endemic country and may have been exposed to schistosomiasis.<sup>10</sup>
  - If urine sample is positive for *S. Typhi* or *S. Paratyphi*, advise physician to test case for schistosomiasis.
  - If case is positive for *S. Typhi* or *S. Paratyphi* and schistosomiasis, advise physician to treat case concurrently for both infections, even if this means repeating antibiotic treatment.<sup>11</sup>

- **Collection of stool samples**

1. Submit 3 stool samples at least 1 week apart. If all 3 samples are negative, end exclusion.
2. If any of the 3 samples are positive, continue sampling at least 1 week apart for a maximum of 3 more samples. If 3 consecutive samples are negative, end exclusion.
3. If 3 consecutive negative stool samples (after 6 samples collected) cannot be achieved, the confirmed case is classified as an excreter (see below).

***If the case's infection is not associated with travel or contact with a confirmed case, discuss with the MHO and notify BCCDC.***

#### **Excreter**

- A confirmed case who continues to excrete *S. Typhi* after 6 stool samples are collected, at least 1 week apart, and at least 2 weeks after completion of antibiotic treatment to which the pathogen is known to be sensitive.<sup>12</sup>
- Discuss exclusion and further action with the MHO.<sup>13</sup>



### TABLE 3 Typhoid Fever and Paratyphoid Fever Continued

#### Symptomatic Contact

- **Exclude until provision of**
  - **2 consecutive negative stool samples**<sup>14</sup> collected at least 1 week apart, and taken after the confirmed case has finished treatment, AND
  - **1 negative urine sample** from a contact who has ever traveled to a schistosomiasis-endemic country and may have been exposed to schistosomiasis.<sup>10</sup>
  - If any sample is positive, exclude as per confirmed case.

#### Asymptomatic Contact<sup>15</sup>

- Exclusion of an asymptomatic contact who traveled with a case until 2 negative stool samples taken at least 48h apart after the case has commenced treatment.<sup>16</sup>
- No exclusion required for asymptomatic contacts who did not travel with a case. (If the source of illness in the case is unclear, consider testing contacts to identify the source.)<sup>7, 17</sup>

#### Outbreak considerations

***If there is >1 confirmed case or 1 confirmed case and any number of symptomatic contacts in the child care facility, initiate an outbreak investigation and consider closing the facility.***

---

#### Cases not working in or attending high risk settings

S. Typhi, and to some extent, S. Paratyphi infections can lead to a carrier state. While no exclusion is necessary, public health should educate S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi cases and their physician about the availability of testing to ensure clearance of the organism.



**TABLE 4: *Shigella* Species**

*Assess and counsel confirmed cases and contacts regarding required personal hygiene (Appendix I).*

***Shigella* species**

**Confirmed Case**

- Exclude all confirmed cases of *Shigella* infection from working or attending high risk settings until the species is known.
- Once the species is confirmed, complete follow-up according to species.

***Shigella sonnei***

**HIGH RISK WORKERS**

**Confirmed Case**

- Exclude until 48 hours after the last loose stool or vomiting episode, whichever comes last. No evidence of microbiological clearance is necessary.<sup>18</sup>

**Symptomatic contact**

- Exclude as per confirmed case

**Asymptomatic contact<sup>7</sup>**

- No exclusion required

**CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

**Confirmed Case<sup>19</sup>**

- Exclude until provision of 2 consecutive negative stool samples<sup>4</sup>, collected not less than 24 hours apart and at least 48 hours after the completion of antibiotics.
- Advise all staff; especially those involved in food handling, diapering or toileting children to be vigilant regarding their hand washing and supervision of children's hand washing.

**Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude until provision of one negative stool sample.
- If positive, exclude as per confirmed case. If negative, exclude from the child care facility until 48 hours after the last loose stool or vomiting episode, whichever comes last.



**TABLE 4: *Shigella* Species Continued**

**Asymptomatic Contact (household contact of case attending a child care facility)**

- Exclude until provision of 1 negative stool sample.<sup>20</sup>

---

***Shigella dysenteriae, flexneri, boydii***

**WORKERS IN HIGH RISK SETTINGS AND CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

**Confirmed Case and Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude until provision of 2 consecutive negative stool samples<sup>4</sup>, collected not less than 24 hours apart and at least 48 hours after the completion of antibiotics.<sup>21</sup>

**Asymptomatic Contact<sup>7</sup>**

- No exclusion required

**CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

**Confirmed Case and Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude until provision of 2 consecutive negative stool samples<sup>4</sup>, collected not less than 24 hours apart and at least 48 hours after the completion of antibiotics.<sup>21</sup>

**Asymptomatic Contact ( household contact of case attending a child care facility)**

- Exclude until provision of 1 negative stool sample.<sup>22</sup>



**Table 5. *V. cholerae* O1 and O139 (Excluding *V. cholerae* non-O1 non-O139)**

*Assess and counsel probable cases and contacts regarding required personal hygiene (Appendix I).*

**HIGH RISK WORKERS and CHILD CARE ATTENDEES**

**Confirmed Case**

- Exclude until 48 hours after the last loose stool.<sup>23</sup>

Microbiological clearance required only if sanitary facilities or personal hygiene are inadequate. Then, exclude until provision of 2 consecutive negative stool samples, taken after symptom resolution, collected not less than 24 hours<sup>5</sup> apart.

**Symptomatic Contact**

- Exclude as per confirmed case.

**Asymptomatic Contact<sup>7, 24</sup>**

- No exclusion required



## 9.0 EXPLANATORY NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Modified definition based on the *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation*, BC Reg. 4/83 definition of “food handler”. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: [http://www.qp.gov.bc.ca/statreg/reg/H/Health/4\\_83.htm](http://www.qp.gov.bc.ca/statreg/reg/H/Health/4_83.htm)

<sup>2</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: The BC Swimming Pool Regulation, currently in draft form, will address the issue of patrons not being allowed to use the pool in certain situations, including illness.

<sup>3</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: A 48h interval was determined to ensure the case’s symptoms have resolved. Most pathogens can be passed in the stools after symptoms have resolved, but if an individual is asymptomatic, good hygiene practices reduce the risk of transmission.

<sup>4</sup> Stool samples for cases undergoing microbiological clearance testing should be culture negative.

Both *E. coli* O157 and non-O157 infected individuals may shed for prolonged and intermittent periods (Karch 1995, Miliwebsky 2007) and both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals can transmit the infection (Galanis 2003, Gilbert 2008). **As only the BCCDC Laboratory has the capacity to detect non-O157 STEC, all stool samples tested in the assessment of microbiological clearance for non-O157 STEC cases must be submitted to the BCCDC Laboratory.**

In BC, all *E. coli* O157 isolates and all culture negative bloody stool samples are sent to the BCCDC lab for Shiga toxin assay testing. If Shiga toxin is present, further identification tests are conducted to confirm the presence of *E. coli* O157 and/or to determine which serotype is present (e.g. *E. coli* O26). BC local labs (private and hospital) do not currently have the capacity to fully detect STEC serotypes other than O157.

Based on a review of the literature, environmental scan of provincial and international policies and discussion with experts, it was decided by consensus that Shiga toxin results would not be used in the assessment of microbiological clearance (i.e. a case who has had 2 negative consecutive stool cultures but remains Shiga toxin positive may return to the high risk setting if the risk of transmission is considered minimal based on a hygiene assessment). Although Shiga toxin may be present in stool for longer periods or more consistently than the pathogen, its public health significance is unclear (Kuusi 2007). Although theoretically possible, there is no evidence of transmission of STEC from an asymptomatic culture negative toxin positive case. Continued exclusion based solely on Shiga toxin results could cause undue hardship on the case and family.

Cases who are initially culture negative but Shiga toxin positive are likely false negative cultures that may be positive in future samples. Such cases should follow the same exclusion guideline as culture positive cases (i.e. require 2 negative consecutive stool cultures conducted at the BCCDC).



<sup>5</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: A 24 hour waiting period between samples is based on best practices identified in many jurisdictions. No evidence was found to further support this 24 hour time period.

<sup>6</sup> Antibiotics and anti-diarrheal medications are not currently recommended in the treatment of STEC because of their potential association with an increased risk of HUS (Wong *et al* 2000, Safdar *et al* 2002, Tarr *et al* 2005).

<sup>7</sup> As a general rule, asymptomatic contacts do not need to be tested nor excluded. They have a low risk of infection and a low risk of transmission. Testing and excluding someone from their work place or from attending child care also has important adverse societal and medical costs. Contacts should be educated on the nature of the disease and the steps to reduce their risk of infection and transmission to others in the event they become infected; they should be advised to exclude themselves from work or child care if they become symptomatic and to report to public health immediately.

<sup>8</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: Exclusion until microbiological clearance using one stool sample is suggested based on best practices in at least one other jurisdiction (PHLS 2004) and the anecdotal evidence of spread from an asymptomatic child to other children in a child care facility. The WG does not require two stool samples because there is no strong evidence to support this and does not want to apply undue pressure on families.

The UK (PHLS 2004) recommends exclusion of contacts until two negative stools taken 48 hours apart and does not differentiate between symptomatic and asymptomatic contacts. Most other jurisdictions don't recommend exclusion of asymptomatic contacts. No supporting evidence is available either way. Intermittent shedding of STEC is uncommon (Belongia 1993). Asymptomatic infection in children is uncommon (Belongia 1993, Galanis 2003).

<sup>9</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: The requirements in this section are in line with best practices in other countries. The requirements for the number of samples collected, the time between collection of samples, and the two week period before sample collection begins are based on the prolonged and intermittent shedding of *S. Typhi*. Collecting fewer samples or collecting them too closely together could result in false negatives samples and the assumption that a case is clear of the infection.

Since *S. Typhi* infections do not clear without treatment, collection of samples should start after the completion of treatment. Antibiotic treatment decreases morbidity, mortality and prolonged shedding (Heymann 2004).

The two week waiting period takes into account the potential for patients to suffer a relapse after treatment. No further evidence was found to support waiting for a specified period of time from the onset of symptoms to begin sample collection.

*S. Typhi* bacteria can adhere to the surface of *Schistosoma* during bacteremia if both pathogens are present concomitantly. This symbiotic relationship can occur with all species of *Schistosoma*. It leads to the intermittent, often asymptomatic release of *S. Typhi* in the urine and can confer antimicrobial resistance to *S. Typhi* (Gendrel 1993).



<sup>10</sup> Schistosomiasis endemic areas include Africa, China, India and some countries in South America, the Caribbean, the Middle East and South East Asia. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dpd/parasites/schistosomiasis/factsht\\_schistosomiasis.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dpd/parasites/schistosomiasis/factsht_schistosomiasis.htm). For a current list of endemic countries see the CDC website.

A person can be exposed to *Schistosoma* larvae when swimming or wading in fresh water, in a country where schistosomiasis is endemic.

<sup>11</sup> Treatment solely for schistosomiasis in people with concurrent infection with *S. Typhi* or *S. Paratyphi* will cause the release of *Salmonella* bacteria from *Schistosoma* and shedding in the urine (Bourée 2002, Penaud 1983).

*S. Typhi* can also rarely cause symptomatic urinary tract infections, independent of *Schistosoma* infections (Mathai 1995).

<sup>12</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: There is no scientific evidence stating the number of samples or the length of time that is required before classifying a case as an excreter.

<sup>13</sup> Further exclusion and testing should be determined by the Medical Health Officer based on an individual risk assessment.

<sup>14</sup> Based on best practices (Heymann 2004, Public Health Agency of Canada 2003, Working Group of the former PHLS Advisory Committee on Gastrointestinal Infections 2004). Two samples (rather than one) are required because *S. Typhi* is shed intermittently. The attack rate in household contacts is relatively low; in one study, only 2% of 1000 contacts were positive for *S. Typhi* (Braddick 1991).

<sup>15</sup> *S. Typhi*/*Paratyphi* infection symptoms include fever, headache, malaise, anorexia, constipation and/or diarrhea.

The long incubation period for *S. Typhi* means that an asymptomatic person may test negative but may become ill at a later date.

<sup>16</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: The requirement in this section is in line with best practices in other countries. The UK (PHLS 2004) recommends exclusion of contacts who may have had similar exposure to case with 2 negative stool 48h apart after the case has commenced treatment. A contact who traveled with a case to an endemic country is likely to have been exposed to similar sources and is at a higher risk of infection. Testing of asymptomatic contacts is recommended because although asymptomatic infection is uncommon, carriage occurs in about 5% of infection individuals. Two samples are recommended because intermittent shedding is common (5-20% in Buchwald 1984).

<sup>17</sup> Only 2.6% of contacts have been found to be infected (Braddick 1991).

<sup>18</sup> There is agreement by many other jurisdictions that microbiological clearance for *Shigella sonnei* is not required (Working Group of the former PHLS Advisory Committee on Gastrointestinal Infections 2004, Food Handlers with Potentially Foodborne Diseases Subcommittee 2004). It is difficult to define "clearance" because the excretion of *Shigella* after recovery may be intermittent and prolonged. Median durations of excretion of 17 to 29 days



have been reported. Where exclusion was practiced, the use of three rather than two consecutive negative fecal samples or one negative sample to determine clearance of *S. sonnei* did not confer additional benefit (Newman 1993).

There is a 10% chance of a positive sample after three negative samples, therefore two or three negative stools do not equate to clearance, or negate the possibility that individuals will continue to intermittently excrete small numbers of bacteria. "The weight of evidence suggests that although the infectious dose is small, asymptomatic *Shigella* carriers practicing good personal hygiene pose minimal risk of spread of the infection" (Food Handlers with Potentially Foodborne Diseases Subcommittee 2004).

<sup>19</sup> *S. sonnei* is the most common *Shigella* species in Canada, is most common in infants and preschool children and has become an important issue in daycares, although illnesses are generally mild. Infected children 5 years or younger have an increased risk of transmission in child care facilities because of close contact with other children (especially those who are diapered), poor hand washing, and mouthing of toys. Exclusion and microbiological clearance are recommended by others (Aronson 2005, Pickering 2003, Public Health Agency of Canada 2003).

<sup>20</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: Based on evidence of asymptomatic carriage (Heymann 2004).

<sup>21</sup> *S. dysenteriae, flexneri, boydii* infection cases require microbiological clearance prior to return to a high risk setting because they can lead to severe infections and complications (Pickering 2003).

<sup>22</sup> Consensus of the Working Group: Exclusion until microbiological clearance using one stool sample is suggested based on best practices in at least one other jurisdiction (PHLS 2004) and evidence of asymptomatic carriage (Heymann 2004). The WG does not require two stool samples because there is no strong evidence to support this and does not want to apply undue pressure on families.

<sup>23</sup> Most jurisdictions including WHO, UK, Ireland and Western Australia all exclude until 48h after symptoms; microbiological clearance with 2 negative stools is required only if hygiene is inadequate. Secondary spread is rare where hygiene and sanitary facilities are adequate. Usually, stools remain positive for only a few days after recovery. Transmission via food from infected foodhandlers has been documented internationally but no secondary cases have been reported in Canada. Person-to-person spread is rare; a large inoculum is necessary (PHLS 2004).

<sup>24</sup> Asymptomatic carriage of *V. cholerae* is possible but rare.



## 10.0 REFERENCES

- Ansari SA, Sattar SA, Springthorpe S, Wells GA, Tostowaryk W. Rotavirus survival on human hands and transfer of infectious virus to animate and nonporous inanimate surfaces. *Journal Clin Microbiol.* 1988;26(8):1513-18.
- Aronson SS, Shope TR, editors. *Managing infectious diseases in child care and schools, a quick reference guide.* American Academy of Pediatrics; 2005:117.
- Bartlett AV, Englander SJ, Jarvis BA, Ludwig L, Carlson JF, Topping JP. Controlled trial of *Giardia lamblia*: control strategies in day care centers. *Am J Public Health.* 1991;81(6):1001-6.
- Belongia EA, Osterholm MT, Soler JT, Ammend DA, Braun JE, MacDonald KL. Transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in Minnesota child day-care facilities. *JAMA.* 1993;269(7):883-8.
- Besser-Wiek JW, Forfang J, Hedberg CW, Korlath JA, Osterholm MT, Sterling CR, et al. Foodborne outbreak of diarrheal illness associated with *Cryptosporidium parvum* – Minnesota, 1995. *MMWR.* 1996;45:783-4. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00043643.htm>.
- Blaser MJ, Newman LS. A review of human salmonellosis: I. Infective dose. *Rev Infect Dis.* 1982;4(6):1096-1106.
- Bourée P, Botterel F, Romand S. Delayed *Salmonella* bacteriuria in a patient infected with *Schistosoma haematobium*. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol.* 2002;32(2):355-60.
- Boyce TG, Swerdlow DL, Griffin PM. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and the hemolytic-uremic syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;333(6):364-68.
- Braddick MR, Crump BJ, Yee ML. How long should patients with *S. typhi*/paratyphi be followed-up? *J Public Health Med.* 1991;13(2):101-7.
- BC Centre for Disease Control. 2004 British Columbia Annual Summary of Reportable Diseases. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: [http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/B539453E-4EA1-4B14-AC0E-48480C272BD2/0/Epid\\_Stats\\_Research\\_CDAnnualReport\\_2004.pdf](http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/B539453E-4EA1-4B14-AC0E-48480C272BD2/0/Epid_Stats_Research_CDAnnualReport_2004.pdf).
- BC Centre for Disease Control. Communicable Disease Control - Hepatitis A Policy. June 2005. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: [http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/17959480-B821-400A-BD7E-56074AD1CAF9/0/Epid\\_GF\\_HepA\\_August\\_2008.pdf](http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/17959480-B821-400A-BD7E-56074AD1CAF9/0/Epid_GF_HepA_August_2008.pdf).
- BC Centre for Disease Control. Managing outbreaks of gastroenteritis. 2003. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from:



[http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/E2256DB6-A332-424E-A87C-7E68AFDF4F39/0/InfectionControl\\_GF\\_GEGuidelinesnov0503.pdf](http://www.bccdc.ca/NR/rdonlyres/E2256DB6-A332-424E-A87C-7E68AFDF4F39/0/InfectionControl_GF_GEGuidelinesnov0503.pdf).

Bryan FL. Risks of practices, procedures and processes that lead to outbreaks of foodborne diseases. *J Food Prot.* 1988;51(8):663-73.

Buchwald DS, Blaser MJ. A review of human salmonellosis: II. Duration of excretion following infection with nontyphi *Salmonella*. *Rev Infect Dis.* 1984;6(3):345-56.

Bush MFH. The symptomless *Salmonella* excretor working in the food industry. *Community Med.* 1985;7:133-135.

Carter AO, Borczyk AA, Carlson JAK, Harvey B, Hockin JC, Karmali MA, et al. A severe outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 – associated hemorrhagic colitis in a nursing home. *New Engl J Med.* 1987;317(24):1496-1500.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Schistosomiasis fact sheet for the general public. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: [http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dpd/parasites/schistosomiasis/factsht\\_schistosomiasis.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dpd/parasites/schistosomiasis/factsht_schistosomiasis.htm).

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Shigella* surveillance: annual summary, 2003. Atlanta (Georgia): US Department of Health and Human Services; November 2004.

Coates D, Hutchinson DN, Bolton FJ. Survival of thermophilic campylobacters on fingertips and their elimination by washing and disinfection. *Epidemiol Inf.* 1987;99(2):265-74.

Cohen DI, Rouach TM, Rogol M. A *Campylobacter* enteritis outbreak in a military base in Israel. *Isr J Med Sci.* 1984;20:216-8.

Combee CL, Collinge ML, Britt EM. Cryptosporidiosis in a hospital-associated day care center. *Pediatr Infect Dis.* 1986;5(5):528-32.

Cruickshank JG. Food handlers and food poisoning. Food handlers and food poisoning. *BMJ.* 1990;300(6719):207-8.

Cruickshank JG. The investigation of *Salmonella* outbreaks in hospitals. *J Hosp Infect.* 1984;5:241-3.

Cruickshank JG, Humphrey TJ. The carrier food-handler and non-typhoid salmonellosis. *Epidemiol Inf.* 1987;98:223-30.

Daniels NA, Bergmire-Sweat DA, Schwab KJ, Hendricks KA, Reddy S, Rowe SM, et al. A foodborne outbreak of gastroenteritis associated with Norwalk-like viruses: first molecular traceback to deli sandwiches contaminated during preparation. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181:1467-70.

Department of Health Directorate: Food Control, Republic of South Africa. Guidelines for the management and health surveillance of food handlers. July 2000. [cited 2006 Mar 28]. Available from: <http://www.doh.gov.za/docs/factsheets/foodhandlers.pdf>.



Dryden MS, Keyworth N, Gabb R, Stein K. Asymptomatic foodhandlers as the source of nosocomial salmonellosis. *J Hosp Infect.* 1994;28:195-208.

Dunn RA, Hall WN, Altamirano JV, Dietrich SE, Robinson-Dunn B, Johnson DR. Outbreak of *Shigella flexneri* linked to salad prepared at a central commissary in Michigan. *Public Health Rep.* 1995;110:580-6.

Fayer R, Morgan U, Upton SJ. Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium*: transmission, detection and identification. *Int J Parasitol.* 2000;30:1305-22.

Fitzpatrick M. Haemolytic uraemic syndrome and *E. coli* O157, prevention rests with sound public health measures. *BMJ.* 1999;318(7185):684-85.

FDA/CFSAN Hand hygiene in retail and food service establishments. May, 2003. [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: <http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodSafety/RetailFoodProtection/IndustryandRegulatoryAssistanceandTrainingResources/ucm135577.htm>.

Food handlers and *Salmonella* food poisoning. *Lancet.* 1987 September 12:606-7.

Food Handlers with Potentially Foodborne Diseases Subcommittee. Preventing foodborne disease: a focus on the infected food handler. Dublin, Ireland: National Disease Surveillance Centre; April 2004. [cited 2006 Mar 28]. Available from: <http://www.hpsc.ie/hpsc/A-Z/Gastroenteric/Foodbornellness/Publications/>.

Francis S, Rowland J, Rattenbury K, Powell D, Rogers WN, Ward L, Palmer SR. An outbreak of paratyphoid fever in the UK associated with a fish-and-chip shop. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1989;103:445-8.

Franco DA. *Campylobacter* species: considerations for controlling a food borne pathogen. *J Food Prot.* 1988;51(2):145-53.

Galanis E, Longmore K, Hasselback P, Swann D, Ellis A, Panaro L. Investigation of an *E. coli* O157:H7 Outbreak in Brooks, Alberta, June-July 2002: the role of occult cases in the spread of infection within a day care setting. *Can Commun Dis Rep.* 2003; 29(3). [cited 2006 Mar 18]. Available from: <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/03vol29/dr2903ea.html>.

Galloway A. Asymptomatic excretion of *Salmonella* in health care workers. *J Infect.* 1987;14:279-80.

Gaulin C, Frigon M, Poirier D, Fournier C. Transmission of calicivirus by a foodhandler in the pre-symptomatic phase of illness. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1999;123:475-8.

Gendrel D. [Salmonella-Schistosoma interactions.] [Article in French] *Rev Prat.* 1993;43(4):450-2.

Gilbert M, Monk C, Wang H-L, Diplock K, Landry L. Screening Policies for Daycare Attendees: Lessons Learned from an Outbreak of *E.coli* O157:H7 in a Daycare in Waterloo, Ontario. *Can J Pub Health* 2008;99(4):281-5.



Gill CO, Harris LM. Survival and growth of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *jejuni* on meat and in cooked foods. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 1982;44(2):259-63.

Gouveia S, Proctor ME, Lee MS, Luchansky JB, Kaspar CW. Genomic comparisons and shiga toxin production among *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates from a day care center outbreak and sporadic cases in southeastern Wisconsin. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1998;36(3):727-33.

Government of British Columbia. *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation*, BC Reg. 4/83.

Guzewich J, Ross MP. Evaluation of risks related to microbiological contamination of ready-to-eat food by food preparation workers and the effectiveness of interventions to minimize those risks. White Paper, Section 1: A literature review pertaining to foodborne disease outbreaks caused by food workers, 1975-1998. Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition. September 1999:1-12.

Health Department of Western Australia. Standard minimum requirements for return to place of work, or school or child-care following a gastrointestinal infection. August 2000. [cited 2006 Mar 28]. Available from: <http://www.health.wa.gov.au/home/search.cfm?cx=004702629457117672014%3Azcmimysjye&cof=FORID%3A10&ie=UTF-8&q=standard+minimum+requirements+for+return+to+work%2C+or+school+or+child-care+following+a+gastrointestinal+infection.&siteurl=www.health.wa.gov.au%2Fhome%2F&sa.x=10&sa.y=8#1389>.

Health Protection Agency ad hoc Working Group of the former PHLS Advisory Committee on Gastrointestinal Infections. Recommended control measures for high risk food handlers, Table 1. 2004.

Hedberg CW, White KE, Johnson JA, Edmonson LM, Soler JT, Korlath JA, et al. An outbreak of *Salmonella enteritidis* infection at a fast-food restaurant: implications for food handler-associated transmission. *J Infect Dis.* 1991;164:1135-40.

Heymann DL, editor. *Control of communicable diseases manual*. 18<sup>th</sup> ed. Washington (DC): American Public Health Association; 2004.

Honish L, Hislop N, Zazulak I, Chui L, Tyrrell G. Restaurant foodhandler-associated outbreak of *Salmonella* Heidelberg gastroenteritis identified by calls to a local telehealth service, Edmonton, Alberta, 2004. *Can Commun Dis Rep.* 2005;31(10):105-10). Available from: <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/05pdf/cdr3110.pdf>.

Hundy RL, Cameron S. An outbreak of infections with a new *Salmonella* phage type linked to a symptomatic food handler. *Commun Dis Intell.* 2002;26(4):562-7.

Jewell JA. Foodborne shigellosis. *Commun Dis Rep.* 1993;3(Rev 3):R42-4.



- Karch H, Russman H, Schmidt H, Schwarzkopf A, Heesemann J. Long-term shedding and clonal turnover of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 in diarrheal diseases. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1995;33(6):1602-5.
- Kassa H. An outbreak of Norwalk-like viral gastroenteritis in a frequently penalized food service operation: a case for mandatory training of food handlers in safety and hygiene. *J Environ Health.* 2001;64(5):9-12.
- Khuri-Bulos NA, Khalaf MA, Shehabi A, Shami K. Foodhandler-associated *Salmonella* outbreak in a university hospital despite routine surveillance cultures of kitchen employees. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 1994;15(5):311-4.
- Kimura AC, Palumbo MS, Meyers H, Abbott S, Rodriguez R, Werner SB. A multi-state outbreak of *Salmonella* serotype Thompson infection from commercially distributed bread contaminated by an ill food handler. *Epidemiol Infect.* 2005;133:823-8.
- Kuusi M, Eklund M, Siitonen A, Virkki M, Häkkinen P, Mäkelä R. Prolonged shedding of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2007 Mar;26(3):279.
- Lambertucci JR, Serufo JC, Gerspacher-Lara R, Rayes AAM, Teixeira R, Nobre V, Antunes CMF. *Schistosoma mansoni*: assessment of morbidity before and after control. *Acta Tropica.* 2000;77:101-9.
- Lee LA, Ostroff SM, McGee HB, Johnson DR, Downes FP, Cameron DN, et al. An outbreak of shigellosis at an outdoor music festival. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;133(6):608-15.
- Lew JF, Swerdlow DL, Dance ME, Griffin PM, Bopp CA, Gillenwater MJ, et al. An outbreak of shigellosis aboard a cruise ship caused by a multiple-antibiotic-resistant strain of *Shigella flexneri*. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1991;134(4):413-20.
- Lin FYC, Becke JM, Groves C, Lim BP, Israel E, Becker EF, et al. Restaurant-associated outbreak of typhoid fever in Maryland: identification of carrier facilitated by measurement of serum vi antibodies. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1988;26(6):1194-7.
- Lo SV, Connolly AM, Palmer SR, Wright D, Thomas PD, Joynson D. The role of the pre-symptomatic food handler in a common source outbreak of food-borne SRSV gastroenteritis in a group of hospitals. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1994;113:513-21.
- Louie K, Gustafson L, Fyfe M, Gill I, MacDougall L, Tom L, et al. An outbreak of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in a Surrey pool with detection in pool water sampling. *Can Commun Dis Rep.* 2004;30-07:61-6. [cited 2006 Mar 28]. Available from: <http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/ccdr-rmtc/04pdf/cdr3007.pdf>.
- Maguire H, Pharoah P, Walsh B, Davison C, Barrie D, Threlfall EJ, Chambers S. Hospital outbreak of *Salmonella virchow* possibly associated with a food handler. *J Hosp Infect.* 2000;44:261-6.
- Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's principles and practice of infectious diseases. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia (PA): Churchill Livingstone; 2000.



- Mathai E, John TJ, Rani M, Mathai D, Chacko N, Nath V, Cherian M. Significance of *Salmonella* Typhi bacteriuria. *J Clin Microbio*. 1995;33(7): 1791-2.
- McCall B, Stafford R, Cherian S, Heel K, Smith H, Coronas N, Gilmore S. An outbreak of multi-resistant *Shigella sonnei* in a long-stay geriatric nursing centre. *Commun Dis Intell*. 2000;24:272-5.
- Mead PS, Griffin PM. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *The Lancet*. 1998;352:1207-12.
- Massoudi MS, Bell BP, Paredes V, Insko J, Evans K, Shapiro CN. An outbreak of hepatitis A associated with an infected foodhandler. *Public Health Rep*. 1999;114:157-64.
- Michaels B, Keller C, Blevins M, Paoli G, Ruthman T, Todd E, Griffith CJ. Prevention of food worker transmission of foodborne pathogens: risk assessment and evaluation of effective hygiene intervention strategies. *Food Service Technology*. 2004;4:31-49.
- Miliwebsky E, Deza N, Chinen I, Martinez Espinosa E, Gomez D, Pedroni E, Caprile L, Bashckier A, Manfredi E, Leotta G, Rivas M. Prolonged fecal shedding of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* among children attending day-care centers in Argentina. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2007 Apr-Jun;39(2):90-2.
- Mintz ED, Hudson-Wragg M, Mshar P, Carter ML, Hadler JL. Foodborne giardiasis in a corporate office setting. *J Infect Dis*. 1993;167:250-3.
- Morse DL, Shayegani M, Gallo RJ. Epidemiologic investigation of a *Yersinia camp* outbreak linked to a food handler. *Am J Public Health*. 1984; 74(6):589-92.
- Newman CPS. Surveillance and control of *Shigella sonnei* infection. *Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev*. 1993;3(5):R63-8.
- O'Donnell JM, Thornton L, McNamara EB, Prendergast T, Igoe D, Cosgrove C. Outbreak of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in a child day care facility. *Commun Dis Public Health*. 2002;5(1):54-8.
- Olsen SJ, Hansen GR, Bartlett L, Fitzgerald C, Sonder A, Manjrekar R et al. An outbreak of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections associated with food handler contamination: the use of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J Infect Dis*. 2001;183(1):164-7.
- Osterholm MT, Forfang JC, Ristinen TL, Dean AG, Washburn JW, Godes JR, et al. An outbreak of foodborne giardiasis. *New Engl J Med*. 1981;304(1):24-8.
- Pai CH, Ahmed N, Lior H, Johnson WM, Sims HV, Woods DE. Epidemiology of sporadic diarrhea due to verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: a two-year prospective study. *J Infect Dis*. 1988;157(5):1054-7.
- Parashar UD, Dow L, Fankhauser RL, Humphrey CD, Miller J, Ando T, et al. An outbreak of viral gastroenteritis associated with consumption of sandwiches: implications for the control of transmission by food handlers. *Epidemiol Infect*. 1998;121:615-21.



Patrick DR, Findon G, Miller TE. Residual moisture determines the level of touch-contact-associated bacterial transfer following hand washing. *Epidemiol Inf.* 1997;119(3):319-25.

Patterson T, Hutchings P, Palmer S. Outbreak of SRSV gastroenteritis at an international conference traced to food handled by a post-symptomatic caterer. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1993;111:157-62.

Paulson DS. Handwashing, gloving and disease transmission by the food preparer. *Dairy, Food and Environmental Sanitation.* 2000;20(11):838-45.

Penaud A, Nourrit J, Chapoy P, Alessandrini P, Louchet E, Nicoli RM. Bacterial-parasitic interactions: enterobacteria and schistosomes (salmonello-schistosomo-associations). *Med Trop.* 1983;43(4):331-40.

Peterson LR, Cartter ML, Hadler JL. A food-borne outbreak of *Giardia lamblia*. *J Infect Dis.* 1988;157(4):846-8.

Pether JVS, Gilbert RJ. The survival of salmonellas on finger-tips and transfer of the organisms to food. *J Hyg.* 1971;69:673-81.

Pether JVS, Scott RJD. *Salmonella* carriers; are they dangerous? A study to identify finger contamination with Salmonellae by convalescent carriers. *J Infect.* 1982;5:81-8.

Pickering LK, editor. Red Book 2003 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 26<sup>th</sup> ed. Elk Grove Village (IL): American Academy of Pediatrics. 2003.

Porter JDH, Gaffney C, Heymann D, Parkin W. Food-borne outbreak of *Giardia lamblia*. *Am J Public Health.* 1990;80(10):1259-60.

Public Health Agency of Canada. Draft - Infection control guidelines: the prevention of human to human transmission of gastrointestinal infections. October 2003.

PHLS Working Group on the control on *Shigella sonnei* infection. Revised guidelines for the control of *Shigella sonnei* infection and other infective diarrhoeas. *Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev.* 1993;3(5):R69-70.

Queensland Government, Queensland Health. Communicable diseases control manual. A clinician's guide to the prevention and control of infectious diseases of public health importance. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. June 2003. [cited 2006 Mar 28]. Available from: [www.health.qld.gov.au/ph/Documents/cdb/19682.pdf](http://www.health.qld.gov.au/ph/Documents/cdb/19682.pdf).

Quick R, Paugh K, Addiss D, Kobayashi J, Baron R. Restaurant-associated outbreak of giardiasis. *J Infect Dis.* 1992;166:673-6.

Quinn K, Baldwin G, Stepak P, Thorburn K, Bartleson C, Goldoft M, et al. Foodborne outbreak of cryptosporidiosis – Spokane, Washington, 1997. *MMWR.* 1998;47(27):565-7. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00053914.htm>



- Quiroz ES, Bern C, MacArthur JR, Xiao L, Fletcher M, Arrowood MJ, et al. An outbreak of cryptosporidiosis linked to a foodhandler. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181:695-700.
- Roberts D. Factors contributing to outbreaks of food poisoning in England and Wales 1970-1979. *J Hyg.* 1982;89:491-8.
- Ryan MJ, Wall PG, Gilbert RJ, Griffin M, Rowe B. Risk factors for outbreaks of infectious intestinal disease linked to domestic catering. *Comm Dis Rep CDR Review.* 1996;6(13):R179-83.
- Safdar N, Said A, Gangnon RE, Maki DG. Risk of hemolytic uremic syndrome after antibiotic treatment of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 enteritis: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2002;288(8):996-1001.
- Spika JS, Parsons JE, Nordenberg D, Wells JG, Gunn RA, Blake PA. Hemolytic uremic syndrome and diarrhea associated with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a day care center. *J Pediatr.* 1986;109(2):287-91.
- Subcommittee of the PHLIS Advisory Committee on Gastrointestinal Infections. Guidelines for the control of infection with verocytotoxin producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC). *Comm Dis Public Health.* 2000;3(1):14-23. [cited 2006 Mar 28]. Available from: [http://www.hpa.org.uk/cdph/issues/CDPHvol7/No4/guidelines2\\_4\\_04.pdf](http://www.hpa.org.uk/cdph/issues/CDPHvol7/No4/guidelines2_4_04.pdf).
- Sundkvist T, Hamilton GR, Hourihan BM, Hart IJ. Outbreak of hepatitis A spread by contaminated drinking glasses in a public house. *Commun Dis Public Health.* 2000;3(1):60-2.
- Swerdlow DL, Griffin PM. Duration of faecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 among children in day-care centres. *The Lancet.* 1997;349:745-6.
- Tarr PI, Gordon CA, Chandler WL. Shiga-toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and haemolytic uraemic syndrome. *Lancet.* 2005 Mar 19-25;365(9464):1073-86.
- Totaro J, Daley J, Andrews P, Spey W. A Norovirus outbreak among healthcare workers. *Am J Infect Control.* 2005;33(5):E117-8.
- Usera MA, Aladuena A, Echeita A, Amor E, Gomez-Garces JL, Ibanez C, et al. Investigation of an outbreak of *Salmonella* Typhi in a public school in Madrid. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 1993;9(3):251-4.
- Weltman AC, Bennett NM, Ackman DA, Misage JH, Campana JJ, Fine LS, et al. An outbreak of hepatitis A associated with a bakery, New York, 1994: the 1968 'West Branch, Michigan' outbreak repeated. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1996;117:333-41.
- White KE, Hedberg CW, Edmonson LM, Jones DBW, Osterholm MT, MacDonald KL. An outbreak of giardiasis in a nursing home with evidence for multiple modes of transmission. *J Infect Dis.* 1989;160(2):298-304.
- Wong CS, Jelacic S, Habeeb RL, Watkins SL, Tarr PI. The risk of the hemolytic-uremic syndrome after antibiotic treatment of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342(26):1930-6.



Working Group of the former PHLS Advisory Committee on Gastrointestinal Infections.  
Preventing person-to-person spread following gastrointestinal infections: guidelines for public health physicians and environmental health officers. Commun Dis Public Health. 2004;7(4):362-84. [cited 2006 Mar 18].  
Available from: [http://www.hpa.org.uk/cdph/issues/CDPHvol7/No4/guidelines2\\_4\\_04.pdf](http://www.hpa.org.uk/cdph/issues/CDPHvol7/No4/guidelines2_4_04.pdf).

## **11.0 APPENDICES**

### **11.1 Appendix I: Personal Hygiene Assessment Checklist for High Risk Workers**

This checklist is useful for assessing all high risk workers, however some questions may not apply to all high risk workers.



**PERSONAL HYGIENE ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST  
FOR HIGH RISK WORKERS**

Date of assessment:

Premises name:

Type of high risk setting:

Inspector:

Consulted MHO:

Consulted LO:

Name of worker:

Name of disease:

**OWNER RESPONSIBILITY**

Discuss with the owner, operator and/or supervisor their responsibilities re: exclusion of workers with diarrhea and/or vomiting and ensuring workers follow required personal hygiene procedures.

**1. ASSESSMENT OF WORKPLACE COMPLIANCE**

**A. Are past inspection reports FREE of the following infractions?**

Dates of inspection reports under review: \_\_\_\_\_

	Yes	No	DK
• Lack of access to the hand washing sinks	___	___	___
• Failure to supply running water, liquid soap or paper towels	___	___	___
• Workers not washing hands when necessary	___	___	___
• Workers not washing hands properly	___	___	___
• Owner failing to exclude ill workers	___	___	___

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Review hand washing stations. Are the following available?**

	Yes	No	DK
• Dedicated hand washing stations in work areas	___	___	___
• Adequate number of hand washing stations	___	___	___
• Evidence that hand washing stations are being used	___	___	___
• Accessible location of stations	___	___	___
• Hand washing sink is free of clutter	___	___	___
• Adequate maintenance of washrooms	___	___	___
• Hot and cold running water	___	___	___
• Liquid soap and paper towels	___	___	___

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_



**2. ASSESSMENT OF WORKER COMPLIANCE**

A. What are the worker's duties? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

What is the health status of the worker? \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Is there a language barrier? \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Observe the worker's practices in the workplace. Is the worker:**

	Yes	No	DK
• Washing hands properly:	___	___	___
1. Lathering with liquid soap			
2. Washing hands for at least 20 seconds			
3. Rinsing hands well			
4. Drying hands thoroughly with paper towel			
5. Turning off the tap with paper towel			
• Washing hands when returning to the kitchen or duties	___	___	___
• Washing hands after coughing, sneezing, touching hair, face or nose, eating, drinking or smoking, or otherwise contaminating hands	___	___	___
• Washing hands prior to preparing ready-to-eat foods	___	___	___
• Refraining from wearing a dirty uniform/apron or wiping hands on it	___	___	___
• Refraining from wearing jewelry or fake/long nails	___	___	___

**Comments:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



## 11.2 Appendix II: Letters and Orders to Cases, Contacts & Employers

- A. Letter to a case of STEC: Exclusion from work (modify for other diseases)
- B. Letter to a case of typhoid/paratyphoid: Exclusion from work
- C. Letter to a contact of typhoid/paratyphoid: Exclusion from work (modify for other diseases)
- D. Letter to a case: Return to work
- E. Letter to employer: Exclusion of an employee who is a confirmed case
- F. Letter to employer: Exclusion of an employee who is a contact
- G. Order to case: Exclusion from work
- H. Letter to parent: Exclusion from child care

In the letters, exclusion requirements for cases and contacts should be modified depending on the enteric infection, according to the tables in the guideline. They can be further modified at the discretion of the Health Authorities and in accordance with HA privacy policies, but the basic elements should be retained.

The letters to employers should *not* include the name of the enteric infection. Authority to disclose this information to a third party (e.g. employer) is in the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act section 33.2(a).<sup>1</sup> It is recommended that the case or contact be sent a copy of the letter sent to the employer and to document that the case or contact has been notified.

The legislation that gives the MHO authority to exclude (Public Health Act sections 27, 28 and 29) should always be cited in an order.

---

<sup>1</sup> It is recommended that whenever FoIPPA is referenced in a BC guideline, Health Authorities (HA) inform the head of their HA under FoIPPA.



A. Letter to a case of STEC: Exclusion from work (modify for other diseases)

Date

Name

Address

Method of delivery:

Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

Dear '**Case Name**':

**RE: EXCLUSION FROM WORK – SHIGA TOXIN-PRODUCING *E. COLI***

---

As required by the *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation* BC Reg. 4/83, it has been reported to (health authority name) that you have a Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* infection. This type of *E. coli* can be spread to others through preparation of food and drink and direct contact with people. To decrease the risk of spread to others, you need to stay away from work until you have cleared the infection. It is safe for you to return to work once you have

- 2 consecutive negative stool samples, taken after symptoms have stopped, collected not less than 24 hours apart and at least 48 hours after the completion of a prescribed course of antibiotics and/or anti-diarrheal medications, if these medications have been used.

In order to submit 2 stool samples, please contact (HA specific information re: sample kit collection and delivery, and laboratory results). In the meantime, if you are well enough to work, it may be possible for you to carry out some duties that will not create a risk to public health. You must have medical health officer approval for this. See contact details below.

It is your responsibility to follow-up with your environmental health officer in order to obtain clearance to return to work. Once you are cleared, your environmental health officer will provide you with a letter from this office, addressed to you and your employer, advising that it is safe for you to return to work.

Please note that you may only be cleared to return to work by a medical health officer. A letter from your physician to your employer **will not** clear you to return to work.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer, 'name' at 'phone number'.

Sincerely,

Health Officer



B. Letter to a case of typhoid/paratyphoid: Exclusion from work

Date

Name

Address

Method of delivery:

Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

Dear '**Case Name**':

**RE: EXCLUSION FROM WORK – TYPHOID/PARATYPHOID CASE**

---

As required by the *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation* BC Reg. 4/83, it has been reported to (health authority name) that you have a typhoid/paratyphoid infection. Typhoid/paratyphoid is easily spread to others through the preparation of food and drink and direct contact with people. To decrease the risk of spread to others, you need to stay away from work until you have cleared the infection. It is safe for you to return to work once you have

- 3 consecutive negative stool samples collected at least 1 week apart and at least 2 weeks after completion of antibiotic treatment. If you were treated while traveling and it is possible that the appropriate medication was not prescribed, you will be referred to your physician for assessment of re-treatment. Sampling can only commence after re-treatment is completed.
- 1 negative urine sample if you traveled to a country where you may have been exposed to schistosomiasis (a parasitic infection).

In order to submit these samples, please contact (HA specific information re: sample kit collection and delivery, and laboratory results). In the meantime, if you are well enough to work, it may be possible for you to carry out some duties that will not create a risk to public health. You must have medical health officer approval for this. See contact details below.

It is your responsibility to follow-up with your environmental health officer in order to obtain clearance to return to work. Once you are cleared, your environmental health officer will provide you with a letter from this office, addressed to you and your employer, advising that it is safe for you to return to work.

Please note that you may only be cleared to return to work by a medical health officer after the required lab results are received. A letter from your physician to your employer **will not** clear you to return to work.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer, 'name' at 'phone number'.

Sincerely,

Health Officer



C. Letter to a contact of typhoid/paratyphoid: Exclusion from work (modify for other diseases)

Date

Name

Address

Method of delivery:

Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

Dear '**Contact Name**':

**RE: EXCLUSION FROM WORK – TYPHOID/PARATYPHOID CONTACT**

As required by the Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation BC Reg. 4/83, you have been identified to (health authority name) as a contact of an individual with a typhoid/paratyphoid infection. Being a contact puts you at risk for getting typhoid/paratyphoid yourself. Typhoid/paratyphoid is easily spread to others through preparation of food and drink and direct contact with people. To decrease the risk of spread to others, you need to stay away from work until you have shown that you are not carrying the infection. It is safe for you to return to work once you have:

- (Refer to Table 4 and insert requirements depending on whether contact is symptomatic or asymptomatic.)

In order to submit these samples, please contact (HA specific information re: sample kit collection and delivery, and laboratory results). In the meantime, if you are well enough to work, it may be possible for you to carry out some duties that will not create a risk to public health. You must have medical health officer approval for this. See contact details below.

It is your responsibility to follow-up with your environmental health officer in order to obtain clearance to return to work. Once you are cleared, your environmental health officer will provide you with a letter from this office, addressed to you and your employer, advising that it is safe for you to return to work.

Please note that you may only be cleared to return to work by a medical health officer after the required lab results are received. A letter from your physician to your employer **will not** clear you to return to work.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer, 'name' at 'phone number'.

Sincerely,

Health Officer



D. Letter to a case: Return to work

Date

Name

Address

Phone #

Method of delivery:

Date & time of delivery:

Dear '**Case or Contact Name**':

**RE: RETURN TO WORK**

---

The (health authority name) has received test results indicating that you ('no longer have a communicable disease' OR 'have been confirmed as being clear of infection, as a contact').

As a result, it is safe for you to return to work. You may provide a copy of this letter to your employer as verification that it is safe for you to perform your regular duties. Please remember that careful and frequent hand washing is critical to preventing the spread of this and many other infectious diseases.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer, 'name' at 'phone number'.

Sincerely,

Health Officer



E. Letter to employer: Exclusion of an employee who is a confirmed case

Date

Name  
Address

Method of delivery:  
Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

Dear '**Employer Name**':

**RE: EXCLUSION OF EMPLOYEE – (Name)**

---

As authorized by the *Public Health Act*, and in order to protect the public's health, your employee (name), has been advised to stay away from work involving (list of duties to be specified by the Health Authority), because he/she:

- Has a communicable disease that can be spread to others through preparation of food and drink and direct contact with other people.

(Name) has been given both verbal and written information about the criteria that must be met before returning to work. When the necessary criteria are met, the environmental health officer will provide you and (name) with a letter from this office, advising him/her that it is safe to return to work. In the meantime, if he/she is well enough to work, it may be possible for him/her to carry out some duties that will not create a risk to public health. You must have medical health officer approval for this. See contact details below.

Please note that (name) may only be cleared to return to work by a medical health officer. A letter from your employee's physician to you or your employee **will not** clear your employee to return to work.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer (name and number).

Sincerely,

Health Officer

cc. Case at last known address.



F. Letter to employer: Exclusion of an employee who is a contact

Date

Name  
Address

Method of delivery:  
Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

Dear 'Employer Name':

**RE: EXCLUSION OF EMPLOYEE - (Name)**

---

As authorized by the *Public Health Act*, and in order to protect the public's health, your employee (name), has been advised to stay away from work involving (list of duties to be specified by the Health Authority), because he/she is undergoing investigation to determine if (he/she) has a communicable disease that can be spread to others through preparation of food and drink and direct contact with other people.

(Name) has been given both verbal and written information about the criteria that must be met before returning to work. When the necessary criteria are met, the environmental health officer will provide you and (Name) with a letter from this office, advising him/her that it is safe to return to work. In the meantime, if he/she is well enough to work, it may be possible for him/her to carry out some duties that will not create a risk to public health. You must have medical health officer approval for this. See contact details below.

Please note that (name) may only be cleared to return to work by a medical health officer. A letter from your employee's physician to you or your employee **will not** clear your employee to return to work.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer, 'name' at 'phone number'.

Sincerely,

Health Officer

cc. Case at last known address.



G. Order to case: Exclusion from work

Date

Name

Address

Method of delivery:

Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

To 'Case Name':

**RE: EXCLUSION FROM WORK – (Name of Disease)**

As required by the *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation* BC Reg. 4/83, it has been reported to me that you are infected with (name of disease). This infection can be spread to others through the preparation of food and drink and direct contact with people. Since your work involves one or more of these potential methods of spread, I believe that you are a person infected with \_\_\_\_\_, and that it is necessary to protect the public health that you remain off work until you are no longer infected.

THEREFORE, pursuant to the authority vested in me by sections 27, 28 and 29 of the *Public Health Act* [SBC 2008] Chapter 28 (see over),

I HEREBY ORDER YOU TO REMAIN OFF WORK AS (NAME OCCUPATION) UNTIL A MEDICAL HEALTH OFFICER CONSENTS TO YOUR RETURN.

This order excluding you from work will remain in effect until you provide evidence to the environmental health officer named below that you have cleared the infection, specifically:

- (Name whatever conditions apply.)

In order to provide evidence that you have cleared the infection, you must (name the conditions), (HA specific information re: sample kit collection and delivery, and laboratory results), or contact your environmental health officer. (See contact details below.)

**It is your responsibility** to follow-up with your environmental health officer in order to obtain clearance to return to work. Once you are cleared, your environmental health officer will provide you with a letter from this office, addressed to you and your employer, allowing you to return to work.

You may only be cleared to return to work by a medical health officer. A letter from your physician to your employer **will not** clear you to return to work.

Take note that you may apply for reconsideration of this order, pursuant to section 43 of the *Public Health Act*.



**43** (1) *A person affected by an order, or the variance of an order, may request the health officer who issued the order or made the variance to reconsider the order or variance if the person*

*(a) has additional relevant information that was not reasonably available to the health officer when the order was issued or varied,*

*(b) has a proposal that was not presented to the health officer when the order was issued or varied but, if implemented, would*

*(i) meet the objective of the order, and*

*(ii) be suitable as the basis of a written agreement under section 38 [may make written agreements], or*

*(c) requires more time to comply with the order.*

*(6) An order is not suspended during the period of reconsideration unless the health officer agrees, in writing, to suspend it.*

If you have any questions about this order, contact your environmental health officer, 'Name' at 'Phone Number'.

\_\_\_\_\_  
[MHO Name and Title]

Given under my hand, the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
[EHO Name and Title]

**Note:** In a cover letter, can put additional advice or information, such as: "In the meantime, if you are well enough to work, it may be possible for you to carry out some duties that will not create a risk to public health. You must have my approval for this."

On the back of the order, put sections 27, 28 and 29 of the Public Health Act:

**27** (1) A medical health officer may issue an order under this Division only if the medical health officer reasonably believes that

(a) a person

(i) is an infected person, or

(ii) has custody or control of an infected person or an infected thing, and

(b) the order is necessary to protect public health.

(2) An order may be issued based on clinical findings or a person's or thing's circumstances or medical history, even if the person or thing has been examined and the examination did not reveal the presence of an infectious agent or a hazardous agent.



(3) For greater certainty, this section applies even if the person subject to the order is complying with all terms and conditions of a licence, a permit, an approval or another authorization issued under this or any other enactment.

**28** (1) If the circumstances described in section 27 [*when orders respecting infectious agents and hazardous agents may be made*] apply, a medical health officer may order a person to do anything that the medical health officer reasonably believes is necessary for either or both of the following purposes:

(a) to determine whether an infectious agent or a hazardous agent exists, or likely exists;

(b) to prevent the transmission of an infectious agent or a hazardous agent.

(2) A medical health officer may, in respect of an infected thing,

(a) make any order, with any necessary modifications, that can be made under this Division as if the infected thing were an infected person, and

(b) direct the order to any person having custody or control of the infected thing.

**29** (1) An order may be made under this section only

(a) if the circumstances described in section 27 [*when orders respecting infectious agents and hazardous agents may be made*] apply, and

(b) for the purposes set out in section 28 (1) [*general powers respecting infectious agents and hazardous agents*].

(2) Without limiting section 28, a medical health officer may order a person to do one or more of the following:

(a) remain in a specified place, or not enter a place;

(b) avoid physical contact with, or being near, a person or thing;

(c) be under the supervision or care of a specified person;

(d) provide to the medical health officer or a specified person information, records, samples or other matters relevant to the person's possible infection with an infectious agent or contamination with a hazardous agent, including information respecting persons who may have been exposed to an infectious agent or a hazardous agent by the person;

(e) be examined by a specified person, including

(i) going to a specified facility for examination, and

(ii) being examined before a particular date or according to a schedule;

(f) submit to diagnostic examination, including going to a specified facility or providing the results to a specified person;

(g) take preventive measures, including

(i) going to a specified facility for preventive measures,

(ii) complying with preventive measures set out in the order, specified by a medical practitioner or nurse practitioner, or both, and



- (iii) beginning preventive measures before a particular date, and continuing until a particular date or event;
- (h) provide evidence of complying with the order, including
  - (i) getting a certificate of compliance from a medical practitioner, nurse practitioner or specified person, and
  - (ii) providing to a medical health officer any relevant record;
- (i) take a prescribed action.



H. Letter to parent: Exclusion from child care

Date

Name

Address

Method of delivery:

Date & time of delivery:

Phone #

Dear '**Parents or guardians of Case Name or Contact Name**':

**RE: EXCLUSION FROM CHILD CARE – NAME OF DISEASE**

---

As required by the *Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation* BC Reg. 4/83, it has been reported to (health authority name) that (name) has a (name of disease) infection OR (separate letter) it has been confirmed that (name) is a contact of a case of enteric infection. This type of infection can be spread to others through direct contact. Therefore, (name) should be kept home from child care, because of the risk of spreading the infection to other children, or the staff. It is safe for (name) to return to child care once he/she has:

- (Name the details for successful test-of-cure or negative culture.)

In order to establish that (name) has cleared the infection, you need to (provide test-of-cure/confirmation of negative culture), (HA specific information re: sample kit collection and delivery, and laboratory results), or contact your environmental health officer.

It is your responsibility to follow-up with your environmental health officer in order to obtain clearance for (name) to return to child care. Once (name) is cleared, your environmental health officer will provide you with a letter from this office, addressed to you, advising that it is safe for (name) to return to child care.

Please note that (name) may only be cleared to return to child care by a medical health officer. A letter from your physician will **not** clear (name) to return to child care.

If you have any questions, please contact your environmental health officer, 'name' at 'phone number'.

Sincerely,

Health Officer