Provincial Health Services Authority

Outbreak

Post-Outbreak Debrief Teleconference AGENDA

| | Agenda Item | Lead |
|---|---|------|
| 1 | Introductions and Roll Call | |
| 2 | Post-Outbreak Updates/Summary | |
| 3 | Debrief Session Outline, scope, purpose and outcomes of debrief Group Discussion, guided by debrief template (see below) Summary of Debrief Recommendations and Next Steps | |
| 4 | Closing Remarks | |

Version: February 25, 2021

Provincial Health Services Authority

Post-Outbreak Debrief/Review

| Steps/Issues | Comments (What worked well? What could work better next time?) | Action Items and Recommendations |
|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Initial stage of the outbreak Outbreak detection and surveillance systems Notification of partners (P/Ts, use of Public Health Alerts, senior management) | | |
| National Outbreak Investigation Group Request for PHAC support | | |
| Describe and orient the data in person, place and time • Were the epi summaries clear, helpful, and distributed in a timely manner? • Were the epi meetings valuable? Investigation methods • Were the objectives of the | | |

Version: February 25, 2021 2

Provincial Health Services Authority

| investigation identified at the outset | |
|---|--|
| of the coordinated response? | |
| Were methods agreed to that would | |
| achieve the objectives? | |
| Were there any barriers to | |
| investigating the outbreak in the | |
| preferred manner (e.g. capacity, | |
| technology, etc)? | |
| Decision Making | |
| Were decisions reached in a timely | |
| matter to effectively support public | |
| health action? | |
| Was there a clear decision-making | |
| process as well as a process for | |
| addressing disagreements? | |
| Information Sharing | |
| Were information sharing processes | |
| clearly developed and | |
| communicated? | |
| Was information shared in a timely | |
| manner to support public health | |
| action? | |
| Was the information shared adequate | |
| to support the management of the | |
| outbreak and public health action? | |
| Communications | |
| Within the outbreak group: | |
| Were email updates acceptable and | |
| frequent enough? | |
| Was information communicated to | |
| the appropriate individuals and | |
| committees? | |
| Were minutes accurate and timely? | |
| Public communications: | |
| Were communications issued by the | |
| juris dictions consistent/coordinated? | |
| Briefing | |
| Were there any issues with respect to | |
| briefing up within organizations? | |

Version: February 25, 2021 3

Provincial Health Services Authority

| Media | |
|--|--|
| Were there any issues related to | |
| media interest? | |
| Public Health Measures (what was done, | |
| implementation of control and prevention | |
| measures) | |
| Were there any issues related to: | |
| Public health measures (PHM) | |
| implementation? | |
| Sharing PHM information? | |
| Coordination of PHM? | |
| Lab Investigation | |
| Was sequencing used as part of the | |
| investigation? If not, could/should it | |
| have been used? What would enable | |
| this? | |
| If used, did this add demonstrable | |
| value? Why/why not? | |
| Post-outbreak | |
| (declare outbreak over, debrief session, final | |
| report, Outbreak Summaries) | |
| Decision-making around declaring the | |
| outbreak over and discontinuing the | |
| national calls. | |
| Was the debrief session held in a | |
| timely manner following the outbreak? | |
| Was there a discussion related to | |
| was there a discussion related to outbreak publications? | |
| | |
| Other topics? | |

Version: February 25, 2021 4