

ALTERNATIVE VACCINE SCHEDULES: ETHICAL THEORY & ACTION

Dave Unger BA, MSc, MD Ethicist, BCCDC

8 Dec 2011



PART I: ETHICAL THEORY

Outline/ Objectives

Ethical Theory

- A) General Ethical Considerations
 - 1) The Basic Problem
 - 2) The Harm Principle
 - 3) The Duty Not To Infect
- B) Ethical Considerations of the Public Health Practitioner
 - 1) Moral Distress
 - 2) Resource Allocation



- 1. The Basic Problem
- Individual rights and freedoms vs. The needs of the greater society.
- Freedom to raise our children as we see fit vs.
 Safety of the community.



- 1. The Basic Problem (continued)
- Immunization programs one of the most successful public health endeavors ever.
- Q: Why do people still not immunize themselves or their children?
- A: The risk (real or imagined) of the vaccine is too great to take. Genuine concern for the health and safety of themselves and their children.



- 1. The Basic Problem (continued)
- Given that there is no perfect vaccine:
 - Is it morally appropriate for individuals to refuse vaccines?
 - Can the "state" impose compulsory mass vaccination campaigns and determine the schedule?



- 2. The Harm Principle
- Mill: "the only purpose for which power can be rightfully exercised over any member of a civilized community, against his will, is to prevent harm to others." (On Liberty, 1859)
- So, if not getting immunized threatens harm to others, the state can act to enforce compulsory immunization.



- 2. The Harm Principle (continued)
- Can be applied twice:
 - Alternative vaccine schedules threaten the broader society.
 - Alternative vaccine schedules threaten harm to children.
- Harm to children intensifies the argument.
- Tug-of-war between parental autonomy vs. state interest to protect vulnerable children.
- No clear answers consider each case separately.

- 3. The Duty Not to Infect
- What are the moral duties of individuals not to infect our fellow citizens?
- How much of a burden must individuals bear?
- We shouldn't sneeze on people when we are sick... But what about diseases we don't even have?



- 3. The Duty Not to Infect (continued)
- Here too, consider case-by-case basis.
- Obligations are more compelling during a pandemic.
- Commitments to cultural, religious, philosophical beliefs are more compelling at other times.



- Moral Distress
- Definition: where one knows the right ethical action to take but one is prevented from acting by system constraints or external forces.
- Link from ethical choice to ethical action is blocked.
- What is the public health care worker's:
 - Jurisdiction?
 - Obligations?



- Moral Distress (continued)
- We believe that vaccinations are, in general, good...
- When children don't get immunized that is bad...
- When we are prevented from immunizing children we have somehow done something wrong... (?)



- 1. Moral Distress (continued)
- How far can we go in convincing parents?
- How strongly must we argue?
- Pondering whether we have done enough leads to moral distress.



- 2. Resource Allocation
- The ethical principle of Distributive Justice.
- > You are a valuable and finite resource!
- Discussing and constructing alternative vaccine schedules places burdens on an already strained system.



- 2. Resource Allocation (continued)
- A fair and just healthcare system such as ours means equitable distribution of healthcare—to each according to need.
- But there are limits to what can be taken up by the system.



Part I: Ethical Theory

Summary

A) General ethical considerations:

- Individual Liberties vs. Needs of Society
- "Harm principle" and "duty not to infect" sanction some form of compulsory immunization... but how far can they go?

B) Ethical concerns of public health workers:

- Moral distress: "Have I done enough?"
- Resource allocation: Unfair burden of Alternative Schedules on a strained system.



ALTERNATIVE VACCINE SCHEDULES: PART I: ETHICAL THEORY







PART II: ETHICAL ACTION

Outline/ Objectives

ETHICAL ACTION

- A) Balancing Parental Rights and Children's Interests
- B) Education, Knowledge Translation, Facilitation
- C) Child Protection
- D) Dealing with Moral Distress



A) Balancing Parental Rights and Children's Interests

- Vaccination is like any other medical intervention:
 - Informed consent is necessary.
 - Refusing an intervention is ethically acceptable if it does not create the risk of significant harm.



A) Balancing Parental Rights and Children's Interests (continued)

- Assess how much the parents understand. Are they behaving rationally?
- Objectively assess the risk to the child.
 - At one and the continuum there is little risk.
 - At the other end of the continuum there is clear and imminent risk.
 - Much can be done before we reach the extremes.



B) Education, Knowledge Translation, Facilitation

- > Aggressive confrontation is rarely effective.
- Most parents won't refuse all vaccines, many just want to understand what they're signing up for.
- ➤ Before speaking it is important to listen. "Help me understand your concerns?"



B) Education, Knowledge Translation, Facilitation

- Most parents only concerned about one or two vaccines.
- Discuss vaccines individually no need to try to impose the whole program at once.
- At the end of the day strive for the best possible outcome. Not all-or-none.
- > You are a wealth of information! Make use of brochures and other literature as well.



B) Education, Knowledge Translation, Facilitation (continued)

- Forging a strong therapeutic alliance through repeated contact.
- Multiple opportunities to reconsider and revisit decisions.
- Respecting decisions and working together is a powerful tool.



B) Education, Knowledge Translation, Facilitation (continued)

- The principle of least invasive and coercive means: try to achieve public health goals with the least amount of intrusion into people's lives.
- The principle of reciprocity: if a public health policy is mandatory, the state must do all it can to facilitate participation.



C) Child Protection

- >A paucity of information on this topic.
- The role of child protection services is limited, but this is nevertheless an important question.



C) Child Protection (continued)

- 1. The child with a deep penetrating wound with a rusty, dirty, contaminated object. Then unimmunized or under immunized child.

 Parents refuse Td or TIG...
- 2. A witnessed confrontation with a possibly rabid animal resulting in an obvious open wound. Parents refuse the vaccine or RIG on religious or philosophical grounds...



D) Dealing with Moral Distress

Legal distress: document, document, document! Is a good preventative strategy.



- > Moral distress is a real problem. Leads to:
 - Denial and trivialization.
 - Disengagement and cynicism.
 - Losing the capacity to care.
 - One of the main reasons for nursing burnout.
 - Workers leaving their jobs or even their professions.



- 1. Recognize and confront moral distress.
- Putting a name to that uneasy feeling.
- 2. Normalize moral distress.
- Working in public health is difficult!
- Grappling with moral distress is a normal part of our jobs.



- 3. The importance of community.
- > Feeling safe enough to voice concerns.
- "This is not my problem, this is our problem."
- Feeling supported when making judgment calls.
- Ability for individual members of the work community to make changes to the work environment and the organization.



- 4. Moral reconciliation:
- We live in a pluralistic society right &wrong, good & bad are defined many ways.
- Different people have different stories.
- On some level we have to accept different ideas and values.



Part II: Ethical Action

Summary

- A) Rightful parental authority vs. Children's interests
- B)Education, knowledge translation, facilitation: doing what we can through strong and respectful therapeutic alliances to ensure the best possible outcome.
- C)Child protection...?
- D) Moral distress: recognize it, normalize it, seek the support of the work community, moral reconciliation.



REFERENCES

- ➤ 1. Austin W, Lemermeyer G, Goldberg L, Bergum V, Johnson MS. Moral distress in healthcare practice: the situation of nurses. HEC Forum 2005;17(1):33-48.
- > 2. Dempsey AF, Schaffer S, Singer D, Butchart A, Davis M, Freed GL. Alternative vaccination schedule preferences among parents of young children. *Pediatrics* 2011;128(5):848-56.
- > 3. Diekema DS. Responding to parental refusals of immunization of children. Pediatrics 2005;115(5):1428-31.
- ➤ 4. Erlen JA. Moral distress: a pervasive problem. Orthopaedic nursing / National Association of Orthopaedic Nurses 2001;20(2):76-80.
- > 5. Feudtner C, Marcuse EK. Ethics and immunization policy: promoting dialogue to sustain consensus. *Pediatrics* 2001;107(5):1158-64.
- ➤ 6. Holland S. Public health ethics. Cambridge, UK; Malden, MA: Polity, 2007.
- > 7. Mill J. On Liberty, 1859.
- > 8. Offit PA, Moser CA. The problem with Dr Bob's alternative vaccine schedule. Pediatrics 2009;123(1):e164-9.
- > 9. Omer SB, Salmon DA, Orenstein WA, deHart MP, Halsey N. Vaccine refusal, mandatory immunization, and the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases. N Engl J Med 2009;360(19):1981-8.
- > 10. Storch JL, Rodney P, Pauly B, Brown H, Starzomski R. Listening to nurses' moral voices: building a quality health care environment. Can J Nurs Leadersh 2002;15(4):7-16.
- > 11. Ulrich CM, Hamric AB, Grady C. Moral distress: a growing problem in the health professions? Hastings Cent Rep 2010;40(1):20-2.
- > 12. Upshur RE. Principles for the justification of public health intervention. Can J Public Health 2002;93(2):101-3.
- > 13. Walkinshaw E. Mandatory vaccinations: No middle ground. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2011;183(16):1830-31.
- > 14. Walkinshaw E. Mandatory vaccinations: The Canadian picture. Canadian Medical Association Journal 2011;183(16):E1165-E66.



ALTERNATIVE VACCINE SCHEDULES: PART II: ETHICAL ACTION



